## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/790,986	EGUCHI, TAKEO	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Chat C. Do	2193			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi real (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this An no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u> 3.	out prior to the data of filing a brief	مطالم مسلم مسلم مسلم النبيد			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NO¯ w);	ΓE below);			
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for		
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•	_		
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 9-20</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a		
10.	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but See below.</li> </ol>	does NOT place the application ir	condition for allowan	ce because:		
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)				
	/Chat C. Do/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2193			

Part 11: the applicant argues in pages 2-4 for claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) that (1) there is no reasonable motivation to combine the references; (2) the secondary reference is teaching of reducing the precision which is opposite the primary reference; and (3) generally the secondary reference by Denk does not remedy the deficiencies of the primary reference by Agrawal.

The Examiner respectfully submits that secondary reference by Denk does reasonably provide the deficiencies of the primary reference by Agrawal by disclosing the rounding process to minimize or elimiate the error due to reducing the precision (e.g. by shorter or limit the word length) as clearly addessed in the abstract and Figures. In generally, the references both generally disclose similar application which minimize the error when reducing the word length. The movitation to combine the reference is clearly addressed in the secondary reference by Denk et al. paragraph [0009] wherein the motivation to combine the concept of round off as seen in Denk et al. is to minimize or eliminate the error in reducing the wordlength. There is no explicit statement in either the references would prevent the combination to occur.